

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,985	02/20/2004	Robert S. Kolman	10030895-1	7147
7590 08/03/2007 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Department, DL 429			EXAMINER SHRESTHA, KIRAN K	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 7599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Loveland, CO 80537-0599			2173	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Diffice Action Summary Time MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEYER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEYER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Perservance of terminary be available under the provision of 35 CFR 1.13(a), in no own, flowers, may are play see when fed alter on the realing date of this communication. If NO period to reply a specified solve, the realisms dately period wis apply and will represent \$34 (8) MONTHS from the realing date of this communication. If NO period the present of the communication of the provision of				6			
### Examiner Examiner Art Unit 2173		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Kiran K. Shrestha 2173		10/782,985	KOLMAN ET AL.				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edutations of time may be evaluated under the provision of 37 CFR 11300, in no event, however, may a reply be timely field. If the opened for reply is apecilied above, the maximum statistory pared will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failur to reply within the stor extended period for reply is apecilied above, the maximum statistory pared will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, reply reviewed by the Office seer than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed parent man aliquation. Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 June 2007. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is non-final. 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ■ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are allowed. 5) ■ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ■ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected to the state of the control of the drawing state of the proving of the proving state of the pr	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the protection of 37 CPR 1-136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. - If No protect or each is specified above, the maximum statistory period wilegally pain and well pains (k) (MONTHS from the marting date of this communication or each growth of the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office sterls than these montains after the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the United than these montains after the mailing date of this communication. - Failure term deplanation is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex partie Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. - Claim(s) is/are objected to. - Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. - Application Papers - The specification is objected to by the Examiner. - Application Papers - The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. - Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CPR 1.85(a). - Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections is required if the drawing(s) be discled to See 37 CPR 1.121(d). - The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. - Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 - Acknowledgment is							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of fame may be waiting under the provision of 30°CFR 1.130(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled where ISX (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication of 30°CFR 1.130(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled where ISX (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication of 30°CFR 1.130(a). The control of the control of the second of the control of	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 June 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum. 	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOi atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(e) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(e) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	4 June 2007.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)							
Al) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is				
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	ion.					
Signature of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * Netice of Informal Patent Application **Notice of Informal Patent Application	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Intormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S8/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S8/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application	,						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	,						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· — • · · · — — · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	·						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application	12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	_ , , , ,						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	·	•	n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	• •		t received				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	received.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to the amendment field on June 14, 2007. Claims 1 and 11 amended. Thus, claims 1-17 are pending and have been considered below.

Claim Objections

The amendment filed on June 14, 2007 corrected deficiencies in the claim1.
 Therefore, the examiner hereby withdraws the objection.

Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's arguments filed on June 14, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the prior are does not teach or suggest "a panning window which is movable in a panning motion to select a sub-portion of said displayed map structure."

In contrast to the applicant's argument, <u>Teodosio</u> does teach movement from a frame in the overview image display to another frame is different directions and user can see overview image display as it unfolds a single frame at a time (column 2, lines 40-67, Fig.2, 2A, 2B and column 3, lines 1-35; Fig. 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/782,985 Page 3

Art Unit: 2173

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.'
- 4. Claims 1, 3- 5, 7-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Teodosio et al. (Patent Number 6,121,966).

Claim 1: Teodosio discloses a graphical user interface displayable on a display screen, comprising: a panning window interface comprising: a high-level map structure panel for displaying a map structure on a first image scale (Column 3, lines 19-21); a panning window which is movable in a panning motion to select a sub-portion of said displayed map structure (Column 3, lines 10-33); and a detailed sub-structure panel which displays said selected sub-portion of said map structure on a second image scale greater than said first image scale (Column 3, lines 39-41; Fig. 3).

Claim 11: Teodosio discloses a method for simultaneously displaying a high-level structure of a map structure and a detailed portion of said map structure on a display screen, said method comprising the steps of: displaying a map structure on a first image scale in a first area of a display screen (Column 3, lines 19-21); providing a panning window which is movable in a panning motion to select a sub-portion of said displayed map structure (Column 3, lines 10-33) and; displaying said selected sub-portion of said

Art Unit: 2173

map structure on a second image scale greater than said first image scale in a second area of said display screen (Column 3, lines 39-41; Fig. 3).

Claim 3: Teodosio discloses a graphical user interface in accordance with claim 1, wherein: said panning window interface comprises a search and highlight function, said search and highlight function allowing input of a search criteria and highlighting elements in said map structure displayed in said high-level map structure panel that meet said search criteria (Column 3, lines 11-33).

Claim 4: Teodosio discloses a graphical user interface in accordance with claim 3, wherein: said search and highlight function allows input of a plurality of search criteria and highlights elements in said map structure displayed in said high-level map structure panel that meet said search criteria (Column 3, lines 11-33).

Claim 5: Teodosio discloses a graphical user interface in accordance with claim 4, wherein: said search and highlight function visually differentiates highlights generated according to respective search criteria (Column 3, lines 11-33).

<u>Claim 7: Teodosio discloses</u> a graphical user interface in accordance with claim 1, wherein: said panning window interface comprises a highlight function, said highlight function allowing input of a highlight selection criteria and highlighting elements in said

Art Unit: 2173

map structure displayed in said high-level map structure panel that meet said highlight selection criteria (Column 3, lines 11-33).

<u>Claim 8: Teodosio discloses</u> a graphical user interface in accordance with claim 7, wherein: said highlight function allows input of a plurality of highlight selection criteria and highlights elements in said map structure displayed in said high-level map structure panel that meet said highlight selection criteria (Column 3, lines 11-33).

<u>Claim 9: Teodosio discloses</u> a graphical user interface in accordance with claim 8, wherein: said highlight function visually differentiates elements highlighted according to different respective highlight selection criteria (Column 3, lines 21-29).

<u>Claim 10: Teodosio discloses</u> a graphical user interface in accordance with claim 7, comprising: a graphical switch that allows said highlight function to be activated or inactivated (Column 3, lines 15-27).

Claim 12: Teodosio discloses a method in accordance with claim 11, comprising: displaying a selectable search and highlight function that accepts search criteria input and highlights elements in said map structure displayed in said first area of said display screen that meet said search criteria input (Column 3, lines 11-24).

Art Unit: 2173

<u>Claim 15: Teodosio discloses</u> a method in accordance with claim 11, comprising: displaying a highlight function that accepts highlight selection criteria input and highlights elements in said map structure displayed in said first area of said display screen that meet said highlight selection criteria input (Column 3, lines 39-41) and (Column 5, lines 5-14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teodosio et al. (US 6,121,966) in view of Wood et al. (US 2004/00006425 A1).

 Claim 2: Teodosio discloses a graphical user interface in accordance with claim 1, but does not explicitly disclose "a graphical switch that allows said panning window interface to be activated or inactivated". Wood discloses pan icon 86 to activate panning interface ([0039]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include a pan icon in Teodosio. One would have been motivated to do so in order to efficiently activated or inactivated panning features via a pan icon.

Art Unit: 2173

Claim 6: Teodosio discloses a graphical user interface in accordance with claim 3, but does not explicitly disclose "a graphical switch that allows said search and highlight function to be activated or inactivated". Wood discloses search icon 94 to activate search function ([0029]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include a search icon in Teodosio. One would have been motivated to do so in order to efficiently activated or inactivated search and highlight features using a search icon.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 13, 14, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teodosio et al. (US 6,121,966).

Claim 13: Teodosio discloses a method in accordance with claim 12, wherein: said search and highlight function accepts input of a plurality of search criteria and highlights elements in said map structure displayed in said first area of said display screen that meet said search criteria input (Column 3, lines 11-24). Teodosio does not mention simultaneous input of search criteria but he does mention "viewed sequentially overview image display is unfolded" (Column 3, Lines 13-14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to

Art Unit: 2173

include this feature in Teodosio. One would have been motivated to do so in order to allow the user of Teodosio's system to enter multiple search elements at the same time.

Claim 14: Teodosio discloses a method in accordance with claim 13, <u>Teodosio</u> does not explicitly teaches "visually differentiating highlighted elements highlighted according to different respective search criteria". However, <u>Teodosio</u> does mention highlighted elements (Column 3, lines 21-24) and differentiating the area with color (Column 3, lines 28-29). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include this feature in <u>Teodosio</u>. One would have been motivated to do so in order to allow user of <u>Teodosio</u>'s system to visually differentiating highlighted elements in detail window.

Claim 16: Teodosio discloses a method in accordance with claim 15, wherein: said highlight function accepts input of a plurality of highlight selection criteria and highlights elements in said map structure displayed in said first area of said display screen that meet said highlight selection input (Column 7, lines 33-41). Teodosio does not mention simultaneous input of highlight selection criteria but he does mention ("viewed sequentially overview image display is unfolded") (Column 3, Lines 13-14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include this feature in Teodosio. One would have been motivated to do so in order to allow user of Teodosio's system to quickly and efficiently select highlight colors for different frames in the image.

Art Unit: 2173

Claim 17: Teodosio discloses a method in accordance with claim 16, <u>Teodosio</u> does not explicitly teaches "visually differentiating highlighted elements highlighted according to different respective highlight selection criteria". However, <u>Teodosio</u> does mention highlighted elements (Column 3, lines 21-24) and differentiating the area with color (Column 3, lines 28-29). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include this feature in <u>Teodosio</u>. One would have been motivated to do so in order to allow user of <u>Teodosio</u>'s system to visually differentiating highlighted elements in detail window.

Conclusion

1. 10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiran K. Shrestha whose telephone number is 571-270-

Application/Control Number: 10/782,985 Page 10

Art Unit: 2173

1691. Draft or Informal faxes, which will not be entered in the application, may be submitted directly to the examiner at (571) 270-2691. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Fri (Alt. Fri Off) 0700-1630 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Cabeca, can be reached on (571) 272-4048 Art Unit 2173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

K.s.

KS July 30, 2007

> JOHN CABECA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100